ă

	LINES HEARINGS BOARD F WASHINGTON
IN THE MATTER OF A SUBSTANTIAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT ISSUED BY PACIFIC COUNTY TO CANADUCK, LTD.  STATE OF WASHINGTON, DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY and SLADE GORTON, ATTORNEY GENERAL,	) ) ) ) ) ) SHB No. 79-40
Appellants, v.	) FINDINGS OF FACT, ) CONCLUSIONS OF LAW ) AND ORDER )
PACIFIC COUNTY and CANADUCK, LTD.  Respondents.	) ) )

This matter, the request for review of the granting of a substantial development permit by the State of Washington, Department of Ecology and Slade Gorton, Attorney General, came before the Shorelines Hearings Board, Nat W. Washington, Chairman, Chris Smith, Jim Williams and David Akana in Lacey, Washington, on January 16, 1980. William A. Harrison presided.

Appellants were represented by Robert V. Jensen, Assistant Attorney General. Respondents, Pacific County and Canaduck, Ltd., did not appear at the hearing and had not appeared previously in the case.

SLADE GORTON, ATTORNEY GENERAL
ROBert V. Jensen
Assistant Attorney General
Temple of Justice

Appellants presented a motion for default and supporting affidavit at the outset of the hearing. Subsequently, Robert Jensen, the attorney for appellants summarized the contentions of the appellants. In support thereof, he offered and there were admitted into evidence several exhibits.

Having reviewed the request for review of appellants, having heard the summary of appellants' contentions, and having examined the exhibits and files of the case, the Board makes these

### FINDINGS OF FACT

I.

Respondent, Canaduck Ltd., is the owner of an existing resort located in the SW% of section 26, T. 15 N., R. 10 W. The resort is located approximately 10 miles west of Raymond at the mouth of North River. The property lies within rural and conservancy environments on a shoreline of state-wide significance.

II.

On May 2, 1979, Canaduck Ltd. applied to Pacific County for a substantial development permit to expand the existing resort. The proposal includes the filling of 5 to 10 acres of diked wetland with woodwaste. In addition, the proposal involves: the construction of motel accommodations in nine separate buildings, a two-story restaurant, a camp store, the installation of tent camping sites, related road, utilities, grading and drainage improvements.

 $^{24}$ 

TINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER 1 |

III.

2

3 4

5

6 7

8 9

10 11

12

٦3.

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

23 24

22

<sup>25</sup> 26

27

Photos introduced at the hearing show that woodwaste was stockpiled upon the site within the shorelines sometime prior to the date of the hearing.

IV.

The proposal was accompanied in the review process by an environmental impact statement. This document describes several adverse environmental impacts from the project which cannot be mitigated. These include: loss of the wetlands, changes in soil chemistry and water movement.

v.

Section 17.07 of the Pacific County Master Program requires that solid waste is not considered landfill, but is treated specially under section 18. Section 18.10 directs that:

Solid waste disposal sites shall be prohibited on all shorelines, except that woodwaste dumps are permitted on urban shorelines.

VI.

There is no definition of solid waste in the master program.

VII.

Sewage treatment for the expanded resort facility would apparently be accomplished by on-site sewage disposal systems. The State of Washington, Department of Social and Health Services raised serious questions relating to the quantity and quality of the proposed water supply and also the suitability of the site for on-site sewage disposal. The county's response to this comment was to advise the applicant of the necessity of satisfying these concerns FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

AND ORDER

VIII.

The relevant policies and regulations of the Master Program, pertaining to sewage collection and treatment are as follows:

## Policies

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

19

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

# Section 22.01

Some of the use activities regulated under sections 5 through 25 and other provisions of this ordinance will require sewage disposal facilities for the collection and treatment of human wastes. expected that community sewage disposal facilities, although becoming more widespread in the future, will serve only a small percentage of the total area of the COUNTY. Individual facilities, usually with soil absorption systems (drainfields), will continue to be used where community facilities are unavailable. Individual facilities have historically been unsuitably located, poorly designed and ill maintained in the COUNTY, resulting in threats to public health, unsanitary and unsightly conditions, un[p]leasant odors, and probable violations of state water quality standards and criteria. Therefore, individual sewage disposal facilities should be strongly discouraged except that where they are properly located, designed and maintained. Proper location includes a lot having suitable soils, adequate disposal area and adequate separation from water bodies and sources of water supply. In any case, individual sewage disposal facilities are considered to be interim solutions and should be replaced by permanent community sewage disposal facilities as quickly as possible.

22

23

24

25

26 FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW 27 AND ORDER

# Regulations

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.1

# Section 22.10

Sewage disposal facilities for any proposed use shall meet all applicable state and local regulations; including those of the Department of Social and Health Services, Department of Ecology, Grays Harbor-Pacific Health District and those found in zoning and subdivision ordinances.

IX.

Any Conclusion of Law which should be deemed a Finding of Fact is hereby adopted as such.

From these findings the Board comes to these

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Ι.

Absent a contrary definition in the state approved master program, state law, including the requirement of liberal construction of the Shoreline Management Act (RCW 90.58.900), solid waste includes wood waste. Hayes v. Yount, SHB Nos. 108 and 112, p. 9 (1974), Hayes v. Yount, 87 Wn.2d 280, 552 P.2d 1038 (1975); RCW 70.95.030(9).

II.

The proposal to fill 5-10 acres of wetlands of a rural or conservancy designation is inconsistent with sections 17.07 and 18.10 of the Pacific County Master Program.

23

24

95 26

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

FINDINGS OF FACT,

 III.

The proposal to provide on-site sewage treatment for the project is so vague as to make it impossible for this Board to evaluate the consistency of the proposal with the substantive provisions of the county master program pertaining to sewage treatment.

IV.

Development undertaken on the shorelines during the pendency of a permit appeal before this Board is in violation of RCW 90.58.140(5).

v.

Any Finding of Fact which should be deemed a Conclusion of Law is hereby adopted as such.

From these conclusions the Board enters this

#### ORDER

The action of Pacific County in approving the substantial development permit to Canaduck Ltd. is reversed and the permit is hereby vacated.

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

-6-

	∩ C±h
τ	DATED this 25th day of February, 1980.
2	SHORELINES HEARINGS BOARD
3	Mas M. Mashing ton
4	NAT W. WASHINGTON, Chairman
5	Cani Suich
6	CHRIS SMITH, Member
7	James S. Michanico
8	JAMES, S. WILLIAMS, Member
9	David allean
10	DAVID AKANA, Member
11	
12	
13	
14	-
15	
16	
17	
18	

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

j

### 1 CERTIFICATION OF MAILING I, Trish Ryan, certify that I mailed, postage prepaid, copies 2 of the foregoing document on the $25^{-1}$ day of February, 1980, to 3 each of the following-named parties at the last known post office 4 addresses with the proper postage affixed to the respective 5 6 envelopes: 7 Mr. Robert V. Jensen Assistant Attorney General 8 Department of Ecology St. Martin's College 9 Olympia, WA 98504 10 Mr. Jeff Campiche Pacific County Prosecutor 11 Pacific County Courthouse South Bend, WA 98586 12 Mr. Slade Gorton 13 Attorney General Temple of Justice 14 Olympia, WA 98504 15 Mr. Lloyd Taylor Department of Ecology 16 St. Martin's College Olympia, WA 98504 17 Canaduck, Ltd. 18 Star Route 1 Raymond, WA 98577 19 Board of County Commissioners 20 Pacific County South Bend, WA 98586 212223 24 SHORELINES HEARINGS BOARD 25

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW 27 +AND ORDER

26